Longitudinal effects of psychological attributes on adolescent smoking initiation

J.W. Weiss, S. Cen, M. Mouttapa, C. A. Johnson & J. B. Unger

California State University, Fullerton

Abstract

Associations between smoking initiation and psychological attributes, hostility, depressive symptoms, and aggression were examined among a predominantly Latino and Asian sample of 1771 adolescents who reported never having smoked at baseline. Using a 3-wave longitudinal dataset, we found that psychological attributes were significantly associated with smoking initiation. Specifically, sixth grade depression and being an aggressive victim were significant predictors of smoking initiation by the 8th graders. The findings suggest that tobacco prevention programs should include strategies of managing hostile feelings and negative affect as part of the curriculum.
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Introduction

Smoking kills more than 430,000 Americans each year. Adolescent smoking and tobacco use are the first steps in this preventable public health tragedy (USDHHS, 1994, 2001; Johnson, O’Malley, & Bachman, 2003). Numerous studies have been conducted to identify risk factors associated with youth smoking onset in order to develop effective tobacco control programs. Much has been documented on the etiology of tobacco use among adolescents, including intrapersonal factors (including genetic makeup) (Ginzel et al., 2007; Lerman & Berrettini, 2003; Maes et al., 2004; Malaiyandi et al., 2005) as well as psychosocial and behavioral factors (Chen et al., 1999; Chen & Weiss, 2007; Hsia, Spruijt-Metz, 2008; Simons-Morton et al., 2004; Unger, et al., 2004; Weiss, Garbanati, Tanjasiri, Xie, & Palmer, 2006). Among these studies, increasing evidence has suggested that smoking is not randomly distributed across the population (Johnson et al., 2007; Piko, Luszczynska, Gibbons, & Teközel, 2005; Schofield, Pattison, Hill, & Borland, 2003). Adolescents with certain psychological attributes such as hostility and depressive symptoms as well as those who behave aggressively are especially vulnerable to smoking (Gilbert, 1995; Helstrom, Bryan, Hutchison, Riggs, & Blechman, 2004; Jamner, Shapiro, & Murray, 1999; Weiss et al., 2005). However, there is limited research that examines how these factors correlate with smoking initiation among culturally diverse early adolescents, particularly among Asian American and Latino youth. To fill this gap, we amassed a sample that consisted mainly of those ethnic groups, and assessed psychological attributes during sixth grade, when smoking prevalence was low, and again during the seventh and the eighth grades, as successively more of the adolescent took up smoking. The longitudinal design allows us to examine the role of psychological attributes in smoking initiation. We hope the findings of this study will provide useful information for developing more tailored prevention programs for culturally diverse youth populations.

Hostility, depressive symptoms, and aggression

Hostility is characterized by negative affect toward others (Robinson, Brower, & Gomberg, 2001; Spielberger, 1988). Hostility is one of the components of the “AHA Syndrome”: anger, hostility, and aggression (Johnson, 1990), which manifests itself in characteristic thoughts, affect, and behavior. Anger, the affective component of the syndrome, can range from
irritation to rage. Hostility, the cognitive component, includes negative beliefs and suspicion about others, such as cynicism and mistrust. Aggression, the behavioral component resulting from the attitudinal and affective aspects, is an action intended to harm others, either verbally or physically (Fite, Colder, Lochman, & Wells, 2008; Miller, Smith, Turner, Guijarro, & Hallet, 1996). The experience of anger is identified as neurotic hostility, which is characterized by frequent feelings of anger associated with resentment and beliefs that one is often mistreated. The expression of anger is identified as expressive hostility, which is characterized by verbal or physical aggression (Bushman, Cooper, & Lemke, 1991; Morris, Zhang, & Bondy, 2006; Simourd & Mamuza, 2000). Psychodynamic theory suggests that expressive hostility is correlated with externalizing behaviors such as bullying, arguing, and aggression, whereas neurotic hostility is related to internalizing behaviors such as withdrawal, anxiety, and depression (Albayrak-Kaymak, 1999; Krueger, McGue, & Iacono, 2001). There is evidence that depressed adolescents are at heightened risk for hostility and aggressive behavior because depressed adolescents tend to attend selectively to the most negative features of events. Thus, they tend to feel intense, irritated, and hostile (Felsten, 1996; Knox, King, & Hanna, 2000; Griffin, Botvin, Scheier, Doyle, & Williams, 2003). There is also evidence to support a physiological substrate, dysregulation of serotonin, for hostility, aggression and depression (Birmaher, Kaufman, & Brent, 1997; Kaufman, Birmaher, & Perel, 1998; Whalen, Jamner, Henker, & Delfino, 2001). Hostility, depression, and aggression are often correlated; however, they reflect different constructs and may be independently associated with unhealthy behaviors, including smoking (Calhoun, Bosworth, Siegler, & Bastian, 2001; Whiteman, Fowkes & Deary, 1997).

Psychological attributes and adolescent smoking

Hostility and smoking. Hostility has been defined as either a mood state or personality trait. Hostility has been linked to a variety of negative health outcomes, including hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and cancer (Calhoun, 2001; Shapiro et al., 1995; van Loon, Marja, Surtees, & Ormel, 2001). Trait hostility has been associated with higher smoking rates in several large scale cross-sectional and longitudinal studies (Hampson, Andrews, & Barckley, 2007; Scherwitz et al., 1992; Weiss et al., 2005). In a longitudinal study of more than 4,700 individuals (Lipkus, Barefoot, Williams, & Siegler, 1994), high-hostility college students were more likely than low-hostility college students to take up smoking and to still be smokers 20 years later. Some studies have suggested a positive correlation between hostility and negative affect, which may inspire the use of tobacco as a means to reduce tension, irritation, and distress (Lee, Mendes de Leon, & Markides, 1988; Whalen, Jamner, Henker, & Delfino, 2001; White, Johnson, & Buyske, 2000). The frequent experience of intense anger reactions--particularly in situations involving criticism and evaluation--has been associated with adolescent smoking, in that adolescents who have difficulty controlling anger and regulating mood tend to use smoking as a coping mechanism (Johnson, 1990; Whiteman, Fowkes, & Deary, 1997).

Depressive symptoms and adolescent smoking.

Depression and depressive symptoms have been identified as important determinants of adolescent smoking in numerous studies (Fergusson, Lynskey, & Horwood, 1996; Koval, Pederson, Mills, McGrady, & Carvajal, 2000; Patton et al., 1998; USDHHS, 2001). Depressed adolescents are more likely to take up smoking than their less depressed counterparts, but there is still controversy about the causal link in the depression-smoking relationship (Brown, Lewinsohn, Seeley, & Wagner, 1996; Nezami et al., 2005; Windle & Windle, 2001; Wu & Anthony, 1999). Previous studies suggested that smoking may develop in an attempt to cope with psychological distress and feelings of depression, and that depressive symptoms can leave adolescents more vulnerable to peer smoking influences (Cooper, 1994; Glass, 1990; Munafò, Hitsman, Rende, Metcalfe, & Niaura, 2008). The anticipated improvement in mood and psychosocial functioning is a motivating factor for taking up smoking among adolescents.
Aggression, victimization, and adolescent smoking. Smoking among adolescents has been associated with both aggressive behaviors (Epstein, Botvin, Diaz, Williams, & Griffin, 2000) and victimization (Sussman & Dent, 2000; Timmermans, van Lier, & Koot, 2008). High levels of aggression at baseline, as well as hyperactivity and somatic complaints, have been associated with smoking initiation among adolescents fifteen months later (Leff et al., 2003). Furthermore, it has been suggested that aggressive victims (those who have been victimized and who are also aggressive), but not those who are victims only, have higher rates of smoking than other students (Helstrom, Bryan, Hutchison, Riggs, & Blechman, 2004; Mouttapa, Gallaher, Unger, & Valente, 2002). Aggressive victims may be particularly at risk for smoking initiation because of their high rates of emotional reactivity, academic difficulties, peer rejection (Schwartz, 2000), learning difficulties (Kaukiainen et al., 2002), and negative mood (Hess & Atkins, 1998). Such adolescents may initiate smoking in an attempt to gain peer acceptance and/or to alleviate stress and depression (Unger, Sussman, & Dent, 2003).

The goal of this study is to examine the longitudinal effects of hostility, depressive symptoms, aggression, and aggressive victimization on smoking initiation. We hypothesized that these psychological attributes in the 6th graders would be associated with smoking initiation when they reached the 8th grade. We also expected that being an aggressive victim and being depressed would strongly predict individual smoking initiation.

Methods

Sample
The participants were students who participated in a longitudinal school-based experimental trial of smoking prevention programs in a multicultural, urban population of adolescents in Southern California (Unger et al., 2004). Students were surveyed annually while in the 6th, 7th, and 8th grades. All 6th grade students in the 24 participating schools were invited to participate in the study. Of the 4,427 students invited to participate, 3,358 (75.85%) provided active parental consent. Of those who consented, a total of 3,190 students completed the 6th grade survey, 2,822 students completed the 7th grade survey, and 2,561 students completed the 8th grade survey. A total of 2,292 students completed surveys in all three waves. Attrition rates were lower among Asian Americans compared to other ethnic groups (p< 0.001).

Since the primary outcome of this study was smoking initiation, we eliminated from the analyses any students who reported smoking at baseline (n = 205; 8.9% of adolescents who completed all three assessments). Furthermore, there were 351 (15.31%) students with missing data on at least one of the variables in the final model. Hence, the sample size utilized in this study was 1771. The proportion of who initiated smoking during the observation period among those excluded was not significantly different from that of the analytic sample (p=0.63).

Procedure
Students completed a 160-item paper-and-pencil survey in their classrooms during a single class period (45-50 minutes). Trained data collectors, who were not previously acquainted with the students, distributed the surveys. The surveys were identified only by code numbers, not with the students’ names or any other identifying information. Because the students were attending schools in which classes were conducted only in English, a basic level of English-language proficiency was assumed and the surveys were provided only in English. However, students were encouraged to ask the data collectors to clarify the meanings of any unfamiliar words. The study was conducted in accord with APA policy, under ethical guidelines overseen by the University of Southern California Institutional Review Board.

Measures

Lifetime smoking. Each year respondents were asked, "Have you ever tried cigarette smoking, even a few puffs?" Response options were "yes" and "no." Since the present study examined
smoking initiation, those students who reported smoking in the 6th grade (n= 141; 8.2%) were excluded from the analyses. Those students who reported not smoking in the 6th grade, but reported smoking in either the 7th or 8th grade were classified as “smoking initiators.” Those students who reported not smoking in the 6th, 7th, and 8th grades were classified as “never smokers.”

**Hostility.** In this study, we chose items that measure hostility as a relatively stable trait as opposed to a changing mood. To assess self-reported hostility, the following 4 questions adapted from the Buss-Durkee Hostility Inventory (Buss & Durkee, 1957) were asked: “I lose my temper easily;” “Sometimes people bother me just by being around;” “I can’t help being a little rude to people I don’t like;” and “Lately, I have been kind of grouchy.” Responses were rated on a 4-point scale: 0= “definitely no,” to 3= “definitely yes”. Scores on these items were summed, for a possible range of 0-12. Cronbach’s alpha for this scale was .69. A dichotomous variable was created such that those students with a score of 2.5 (the median for this sample) or greater were categorized as “high-hostility.” Those with a score of less than 2.5 were categorized as “low-hostility.”

**Depressive symptoms.** Five items were adapted from the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1991), a 20-item self-report scale that assesses depression during the past week. The CES-D is a valid and reliable measure of depressive symptoms, but not of the broader construct of negative affect among adolescents (Galaif, Chou, Sussman, et al., 1998; Schoenbach, Kaplan, Grimson, et al., 1982). In a pilot study we factor analyzed the 20 CES-D items using the principal components method to determine five items to use in the main trial of the longitudinal study. Consistent with suggestions from previous research, we chose the five items that loaded the highest on the factor labeled "depression." The factor loadings for these items ranged from 0.72 to 0.81, and Cronbach’s alpha for this short scale was 0.87. The items were the following: “Think about how you felt during the past 7 days. On how many of these days did you….” (1) “Have trouble shaking off sad feelings?” (2) “Feel depressed?” (3) “Think your life had been a failure?” (4) “Feel lonely?” and (5) “Feel sad?” Response options were: 1=“0-1 day”, 2= “2-3 days”, 3= “4-5 days”, and 4= “6-7 days”. Scores on these items were summed, for a possible range of 0-20. Students with a score of 1.2 (the median for this sample) or greater were categorized as “depressed” and students with a score less than 1.2 were categorized as “non-depressed.”

Aggression and victimization. Four items were adopted from Olweus (1991) to assess self-reported physical and verbal forms of aggression and victimization during the past three months. The aggression items were the following: “Did you push or hurt another kid?” “Did you threaten another kid or say something mean to him or her?” The victimization items were the following: “Did another kid hit you, push you, or hurt you in any way?” “Did another kid threaten you or say something mean to you?” The response options for all items were: 3 = A lot, 2 = Sometimes, 1 = Once in a while, and 0 = Never. A total aggression score and a total victimization score were calculated by summing responses on their two respective items. Hence, aggression and victimization scores ranged from 0 to 6. To simplify the interpretation and to define the patterns of findings, three dichotomous variables, “aggressor” (yes/no), “victim” (yes/no), and “aggressive victim” (yes/no) were created. Students were classified as “aggressors” if they scored 4 or higher on aggression, and less than 4 on victimization, “victims” if they scored 4 or higher on victimization and less than 4 on aggression, “aggressive victims” if they scored 4 or higher on victimization and less than 4 on aggression, and “the neither group” if they scored lower than 4 on both aggression and victimization (we used this category as a reference group). We used the cutoff of 4 so that students who were moderately to frequently involved in aggression and victimization were identified.

**Covariates**

Demographic covariates in the analyses were age, gender, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and immigration status. Other covariates included program exposure and acculturation status. All
demographic covariates were assessed in the 6th grade.

Immigration status was dichotomized as immigrants vs. non-immigrants. Students were designated as non-immigrants if they reported that they, as well as both of their parents, were born in the United States. Students were designated as immigrants if they and/or at least one of their parents were born outside of the United States.

Program exposure. As part of this longitudinal study, the schools were randomly assigned to participate in a program evaluation of two newly developed smoking prevention curricula. Schools received either their school’s standard smoking prevention curriculum or one of the two new curricula. The exposure to smoking prevention programs might have confounded the results of this study; therefore, we included it in the analysis as a covariate. The effects of our prevention programs are reported elsewhere (Unger, Chou, Palmer, Ritt-Olson, Gallaher, & Cen, 2004).

Acculturation status. The eight-item Acculturation, Habits, and Interests Multicultural Scale for Adolescents (AHIMSA) (Unger et al., 2002) was used to assess acculturation. The questions, such as “I am most comfortable being with people from…” and “The holidays I celebrate are from…” all offered the same four response options which are labeled four orientation categories: a = “The United States” (Assimilation orientation), b = “The country my family is from” (Separation orientation), c = “Both” (Integration orientation), and d = “Neither” (Marginalization orientation). Each student was assigned to one of four orientation categories based on his or her most commonly selected response.

Data analysis

Characteristics of baseline ever smokers and never smokers. Chi-square analyses were conducted to determine whether ever smokers and never smokers varied by personality traits, aggressive behavior, and demographic characteristics in the 6th grade. Bivariate correlations for all independent variables revealed no multicollinearity problems.

In a multi-school-based intervention, longitudinal measurement is not the only methodological concern. The hierarchical data structure (i.e., students clustering within schools) is also a major concern. In these situations, a hierarchical model (i.e., random effect modeling, multi-level modeling or mixed effect modeling) is commonly used. The Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLM) is a very robust model which can handle binary outcomes such as smoking initiation (Singer & Willett, 2002; Raudenbush, Bryk, Cheong, & Congdon, 2008).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models (Hierarchical Generalized Linear Models) using the SAS macro “glimmix” were computed to predict 8th grade smoking initiation from 6th grade (baseline) psychological attributes. We specifically examined (1) the unadjusted effects of psychological variables (controlling only for experimental condition and clustering of students within schools and classrooms), and (2) the statistical significance of those associations after controlling for the effects mentioned in (1) plus the other independent variables and demographic covariates. To test whether the presence of multiple psychological attributes placed individuals at higher risk of smoking initiation, all possible two-way interaction terms (hostility x depression, hostility x aggression, and depression x aggression) were tested.

Results

Characteristics of sample

Table 1 compares baseline never smokers and ever smokers on predictor variables and demographic covariates. Compared to never smokers, ever smokers scored higher on psychological risk factors (hostility, depressive symptom, and aggression). Ever smokers and never smokers also differed on demographic variables such as age, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and immigration status. On the other hand, ever smokers and never smokers did not
differ on gender, program exposure, and immigration status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking Initiation</th>
<th>7th Grade Combined</th>
<th>7th Grade</th>
<th>8th Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1455</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>1611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The analytic sample consisted of those students who reported that they did not initiate smoking in the 6th grade.

Table 2: Smoking Initiation in Grades 7 through 8

Smoking initiation in the 7th and 8th grades.

Table 2 presents self-reported smoking initiation by the 7th and 8th grades. A total of 160 participants (9% of the analytic sample) who reported never smoking in the 6th grade initiated smoking by the 7th grade. By the 8th grade, an additional 156 participants reported ever smoking, bringing the total to 316 (17.8%) participants who reported that they initiated smoking within the study period.

Differences between aggressors, victims, aggressive victims, and the neither group.

Aggressors, victims, aggressive victims, and the control group were compared to each other on mean scores on psychological attributes and mean age. Group differences were found on the psychological attributes. Specifically, adolescents who were non-aggressive and non-victimized were lowest on hostility (M = 2.25, SD = 0.76) and depressive symptoms (M = 1.32, SD = 0.53). On the other hand aggressive victims were highest on hostility (M = 2.93, SD = 0.80) and depressive symptoms (M = 1.83, SD = 0.85). Using G*Power (Faul & Erdfelder, 1992), we found that the effect sizes of the group differences in hostility, depressive symptoms were 0.29 and 0.21, respectively, which corresponds to “medium” effect sizes according to Cohen (1992). Such findings suggest that higher levels of psychosocial problems are associated with involvement in aggression and victimization.

Association between psychological attribute and smoking initiation

Table 3 presents the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for smoking initiation by the 8th grade. The findings suggest that psychological attributes have longitudinal associations with smoking initiation. Specifically, in the full model that adjusted for all other predictor variables and covariates, sixth grade depression (AOR = 1.61, p < 0.01) and being an aggressive victim (AOR = 1.74, p < 0.01) were significant predictors of smoking initiation by the 8th grade. In the model that adjusted for clustering of students within schools/classrooms and experimental condition only, sixth grade hostility was associated with smoking initiation by the 8th grade (AOR = 1.48, p < .01). However this association did not reach statistical significance in the full model. Furthermore, none of the two way interaction terms (hostility x depression, hostility x aggression, and depression x aggression) were significantly associated with smoking initiation by the 8th grade.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the direct and interactive effects of psychological attributes, hostility, depressive symptom and aggressive behavior on smoking initiation among culturally diverse adolescents. Each of the psychological factors was independently and significantly associated with risk for smoking initiation. Adolescents who scored relatively high on depressive symptoms and aggression in 6th grade were especially at increased risk for smoking initiation by the 8th grade.

As hypothesized, the finding indicated that hostility increased adolescents’ risk to take up smoking. Although research on a causal link between hostility and adolescent smoking is virtually non-existent, the temporal precedence of hostility in smoking initiation is in agreement with the stress-reduction theory of addictive behaviors, in that smoking may be perceived as an effective tension reducer. There is evidence suggesting that hostile or irritable individuals are more vulnerable to stress, negative affect and mistrust. Thus, smoking initiation may occur as a means of reducing frustration, irritation, and anger at a time of substantial stress (Delfino, Jamner, & Whalen, 2001; Shapiro, Jamner, Davydov, & James, 2002; Timmermans, van Lier, & Koot, 2008). It seems that smoking may
be seen as a way of reducing hostile feelings in the eyes of our sample of adolescents.

The sequential connection between depressive symptoms and smoking initiation found in the present study is consistent with previous studies. It may be true that one of the motives for adolescents to take up smoking is to cope with depression and improvement in psychosocial functioning (Cooper, 1994; Munafò, Hitsman, Rende, Metcalfe, & Niemura, 2008; Patton et al., 1998). As stated earlier, there is still controversy about the causal link in the depression-smoking relationship. Adolescent smoking is a dynamic process, and smoking acquisition may vary by stages of life or by stages of smoking involvement (Patton et al., 1996; Wu & Anthony, 1999). With a sample of early adolescents starting from the 6th grade in the present study, we were able to assess their psychological attributes prior to their smoking careers with the hopes of obtaining evidence that is consistent with a causal link between depression and smoking behavior. A study with late adolescents and adults would be less informative, because the connection between tobacco and depressed mood might have alternative explanations, in that the causal link could be much complex. In fact, our results showed a strong link between depressive symptoms and smoking initiation because after controlling for all other variables, the scores of depressive symptoms in 6th grade were still significantly associated with smoking initiation when the students reached 8th grade. This may suggest that depressive symptoms may carry a larger risk for smoking initiation compared to other psychological attributes.

One of the important findings of this study was the relationship between psychological attributes and their impact on smoking onset. Our longitudinal data demonstrated that those students who were aggressive victims at baseline were more likely to have higher rates of hostility and depressive symptoms compared to others who were not. In addition, the aggressive victims were more likely to initiate smoking than others by the 8th grade. This finding is consistent with the frustration-aggression hypothesis (Berkowitz, 1989). Individuals who experience excessive negative affect tend to view others as distrustful, the world as threatening, and to feel depressed and hostile (Felsten, 1996; Kashani, Dahlmaier, Burduin, Soltys, & Reid, 1995; Knox, King, & Hanna, 2000). The links among the psychological attributes demonstrated in the present study further suggest hostility and depressive symptoms may carry a larger risk for smoking initiation. Furthermore, that risk is amplified when hostility and aggression co-occur.

Limitations

One limitation of this study is that our results are based on adolescents’ self-reports of their smoking behavior and psychosocial status. Although previous research has demonstrated the accuracy of self-reports of smoking by adolescents (Wills & Cleary, 1997), self-reports of depressive symptoms, hostility, and aggression may be affected by different understandings and interpretations of those concepts, depending on the cultural values, beliefs, and acculturation experiences of immigrant children.

Another limitation of this study is that our sample consisted of adolescents in a school-based setting rather than a clinical population. Therefore, we employed brief measures that serve as indicators of psychological attributes of our interest, rather than more complex diagnostic instruments that might be used with a clinical sample in accord with DSM-IV criteria. While the results do reflect associations between smoking and the psychological attributes tapped by our items, we are necessarily cautious in asserting that these variables correspond to the same terms as used by clinicians.

In addition, the findings of this study may not generalize to adolescents who are non-Asians and non-Hispanics. We selected our schools because they had large percentages of Hispanics and Asians. The patterns we observed might not appear in schools where the majority of students are White.

Conclusion

In summary, our results provide evidence for a longitudinal association between smoking initiation and psychological attributes (hostility,
depressive symptoms, and aggression) among culturally diverse and early adolescents. We find that the risk of smoking initiation is significantly increased among students who score higher on hostility, depressive symptoms, and aggression. In addition, it may be helpful to identify youth who score high on these psychological attributes and teach them skills to handle interpersonal conflict and negative feelings, so as to prevent their involvement in aggressive behaviors and substance use.
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Appendix A

Table 1. Characteristics of non-smoking initiators and smoking initiators at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never Smokers (n= 1455)</th>
<th>Smoking Initiators (n = 316)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Hostility</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Hostility</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Depressed</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression/Victimization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Group</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressors</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victims</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive Victims</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiethnic</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-immigrant</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrant</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acculturative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIPS program</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAVOR program</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>11.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Immigrants only
Appendix B

Table 3. Generalized linear mixed models to test association between psychological attributes and smoking initiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Attributes</th>
<th>Adjusted Model&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th>Full Model&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOR&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>AOR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostility (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>1.48**</td>
<td>1.15-1.89</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.95-1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>1.58**</td>
<td>1.17-2.14</td>
<td>1.61**</td>
<td>1.20-2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressors (vs. controls)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.97-2.79</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.82-2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victims (vs. controls)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.87-1.87</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.77-1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive victims (vs. controls)</td>
<td>2.24***</td>
<td>1.53-3.27</td>
<td>1.74**</td>
<td>1.16-2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male vs. female)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.97-1.58</td>
<td>1.29*</td>
<td>1.01-1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American (vs. Caucasian)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.56-3.93</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.57-4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (vs. Caucasian)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.35-1.52</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.33-1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino (vs. Caucasian)</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.91-2.34</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.85-2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiethnic (vs. Caucasian)</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.75-2.03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.66-1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (vs. Caucasian)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.74-2.47</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.67-2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrant (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.54-1.10</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.59-1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acculturative stress (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>1.44*</td>
<td>1.01-2.06</td>
<td>1.67**</td>
<td>1.18-2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.98-1.58</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.95-1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>0.68**</td>
<td>0.54-0.86</td>
<td>0.74**</td>
<td>0.60-0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The analytic sample consisted of those students who reported that they did not initiate smoking in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade.

*<sup>p</sup> < 0.05; **<sup>p</sup> < 0.01; ***<sup>p</sup> < 0.001.

<sup>a</sup> Odds ratios adjusted for experimental condition and clustering of students within schools/classrooms only.

<sup>b</sup> Odds ratios adjusted for experimental condition, all covariates, and all other predictor variables in the model, and clustering of students within schools and within classrooms.

<sup>c</sup> AOR = adjusted odds ratio.